

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/884,450	HALBMAIER, DAVID L.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Joseph L. Perrin, Ph.D.	1746	

**All Participants:**

(1) Joseph L. Perrin, Ph.D.

(2) Applicant's representative, Mr. Scott G. Ulbrich.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 10 & 16 March 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

23-24

Prior art documents discussed:

US 3,734,109; JP 06-134411

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

On 10 March 2004, in an attempt to compact prosecution the Examiner contacted Mr. Ulbrich to indicate withdrawal of finality and potential rejection of claims 23-24 in view of the aforementioned prior art documents, and suggested submission of a proposed amendment to overcome the prior art. Mr. Ulbrich faxed a proposed amendment of claims 23 & 24, of which the Examiner subsequently approved.

On 16 March 2004, the Examiner issued a Non-final rejection over the newly found prior art along with a withdrawal of finality. Mr. Ulbrich subsequently authorized entry of the proposed amendment via Examiner's Amendment to place the application in condition for allowance.